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Abstract

Bilateral relationship between Indonesia and China which is a pivotal pathway to Global Maritime Axis's foreign policy has been relentlessly addressed by President Joko Widodo since his early administration in 2014. However, Indonesia is aware that China's claim of nine-dashed line (9DL) has overlapped with Indonesia's sovereignty area, Natuna water. This territory dispute has pushed Indonesian government to take a decisive action by sending a verbal note protest to the Chinese embassy in Indonesia. In the perspective of international communication, this action has scrutinized the interdependence between media systems and political systems as a form of a symbiosis connecting and creating perceptions on the issue. Propaganda techniques of mass media, such as inter alia, name-calling, glittering generality, transfer, plain folks, testimonial, selection, bandwagon, and frustration of scapegoat, might create certain perceptions. This study maps the propaganda techniques by Indonesian high-circulated newspaper Kompas and China's state-run media Xinhua news agency. This study applies quantitative content analysis method in the period of May 30 to July 30, 2016.

Keywords: South China Sea, Media, Propaganda Technique, Quantitative Content Analysis

Abstrak


Kata Kunci: Laut Cina Selatan, Media, Teknik Propaganda, Analisis Isi Kuantitatif
Introduction

Bilateral relationship between Indonesia and China has rather been stable since Indonesian independence. During Indonesian post-independence, this bilateralism has been established in 1950 after Indonesia recognized the establishment of China as a country under a communist government (Gitosardjono, 2006). Post-election of 1957 in Indonesia, the great power of PKI (The Communist Party of Indonesia) which was regarded as a threat and the estrangement between Indonesia and China began (Adriansyah, 2005). Following the beginning of the New Order of Soeharto in 1967, Indonesia stopped the trade relations with China. However, after the Reformation Era, the diplomatic relations between the two countries have increasingly improved during the term of President Abdurrahman Wahid (Sinaga, 2010). It is followed by the cooperation between the two countries in the sector of energy during President Megawati Soekarnoputri’s term. A Declaration of Strategic Partnership between Indonesia and China was formed under the government of Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono on April 25, 2005. It has developed into cooperation between the two countries through the ACFTA (ASEAN-China Free Trade Agreement). Moreover, the cooperation between Indonesia and China has also been manifested in the involvement of two countries in global organizations such as G-20 and WTO (World Trade Organization) (Rachmayanti, 2014).

Lately, there are several problems arising along with the development of cooperation between Indonesia and China. The South China Sea dispute raises tensions in Asia Pacific region. Several islands in the area such as Spratly and Paracel create tensions leading to the geopolitical instability among six countries, namely Malaysia, the Philippines, Brunei Darussalam, Vietnam, Taiwan, and China.

In early 2015, the two countries have developed their relations by signing economic cooperation in various sectors including infrastructure, investment, and trade. Since the beginning of 2016, there have been several incidents concerning traditional maritime boundary between Indonesia and China. However, the government of Indonesia insists that Indonesia will not be involved in the South China Sea dispute.

As a country implementing an independent and active foreign policy, Indonesia takes the role of peacemaker for the South China Sea dispute. Eventu-
of international communication, media propaganda techniques are divided into name-calling, glittering generality, transfer, plain folks, testimonial, selection, bandwagon, and frustration of scapegoat (Uni Assignment Centre, 2013).

**Theoretical Framework**

Propaganda is taken from the Latin word *propagare* meaning “to spread, to expand”. Propaganda has been used since medieval era to describe the missionary activity of Roman Catholic Church. During the Age of Enlightenment, the intellectuals saw propaganda as something hidden and dangerous by deceiving people and made them do things contrary to their will and interests (Kaid & Bacha, 2008: 659).

Propaganda is a communication technique seeking to manipulate the attitudes and opinions of audiences target. Propaganda activities are aimed to change the existing belief system, value structure, and political position to produce a certain attitude to agree with the propagandists regarding an issue thereof. The target of propaganda is the public, therefore, it requires the mass media (speeches, advertisements, editorials, articles, music, or posters) as the messenger (Kaid & Bacha, 2008: 658). In general, propaganda is not a foreign term since the media has used it frequently. Propaganda is often referred to as rhetoric, spin doctoring, indoctrination, agitprop (propaganda through literature, drama, music or art), or brainwashing (Cunningham, 2002).

Kamalipour & Snow (2004) mentioned that the two elements of information dominance compared to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Name Calling</td>
<td>A tactic to ensure the target receives a conclusion without deep consideration of the facts. It uses words, relating to a person or an idea to a negative concept.</td>
<td>Propagandists call their opponents “instigators”, “deceiver” or “terrorist”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Glittering Generality</td>
<td>A tactic to seize the emotions and ensure the target followed by the “solidarity” and the propagandists by using highly valued concepts and beliefs ensuring the audience feels positive and by inviting a big round of applause.</td>
<td>Indonesia is a democratic country!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
<td>Improving an image by associating the product with the symbols respected by the community. It stimulates the recipients to identify themselves with those authorities.</td>
<td>The cartoon of Uncle Sam who represents the consensus of public opinion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Testimonial</td>
<td>The use of famous figures (actors/actresses/ politicians) to advertise the candidates/products. Testimonial has great appeal to emotions instead of logic because it gives a weak justification for a product/action.</td>
<td>Oprah Winfrey supporting Obama to be the President.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Plain folks</td>
<td>A trick putting propagandists as ordinary people like the target audience to demonstrate the ability to empathize and understand the concerns/feelings of the public.</td>
<td>Maspion is produced by Indonesia. Use Indonesian products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Card Stacking/ Selection</td>
<td>A propaganda technique conducted by selecting statements based on certain events or by using selective facts to support its interests or to prove the prior statements.</td>
<td>The negative effect of the free market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Bandwagon</td>
<td>Psychological perspective explains that most people tend to choose to be the majority rather than the minority. The propagandists suggest to the audiences that they will lose or miss something by not moving with the others. It manipulates fear and insecurity.</td>
<td>“Since everyone is doing it, you should too.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Frustration of Scapegoat</td>
<td>Looking for someone to blame for the mistakes of others. When a problem occurs, people will tend to blame others. Therefore, they will look for a scapegoat. Scapegoat is also an easy way to create resentment and frustration.</td>
<td>“It is all because of him/her.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the traditional concept of propaganda. First, the integration propaganda and psychological operations become the wider concept of information warfare. The traditional concept of propaganda involves the creation and distribution of messages through the government-owned media or independent media.

In 1937, a study conducted by the Institute for Propaganda Analysis has identified seven propaganda techniques, namely name-calling, glittering generality, transfer, testimonial, plain folks, card stacking (selection), and bandwagon. Testimonials and frustration of scapegoat are then added into the propaganda techniques by Holsti (Uni Assignment Centre, 2013), bringing the total of eight propaganda techniques mapped in the following table.

For most people, the term propaganda has a negative connotation. During the 1920s, the term ‘public relations’ was used as the substitute. Apart from the use of the term, propaganda cannot be circumvented in the 1930s in the United States, from radio ads to pro-government or anti-fascist messages through the film, columns of newspapers, political figures, religious leaders and celebrities (Hobbs & McGee, 2014).

Material and Methodology

This study is a quantitative content analysis. Kellinger (1973) defines it as a systematic, objective and quantitative process for the purpose of variable of measurement. In this study, the author wants to find out propaganda techniques performed by the mass media in Indonesia (Harian Kompas) and China (Xinhua News Agency). The population in this study is the entire news relevant to the South China Sea, including the problem of illegal fishing in Natuna Islands published in Harian Kompas and Xinhua News Agency in a two-month period from May 30 until July 30, 2016. This period is chosen because there was an illegal fishing carried out by Chinese boats at the end of May. The keywords used are “the South China Sea” and “Indonesia.” This study uses a total sampling, namely the entire population of the news from May 30 to July 30, 2016. There are 66 news articles from Harian Kompas and another 10 from Xinhua News Agency.

Result and Discussion

The results of analysis of 66 news articles from Harian Kompas and 10 news articles from Xinhua News Agency are as follows.
technique is most commonly found by connecting the overlapping region with the concept of colonialism or maritime hegemony, for example, the use of maritime colonialism in the article “Perilaku Ambiguitas Memicu Konflik Militer” and hegemony in the article “Tantangan Diplomasi Indonesia di Kawasan Sarat Sengketa.” Selection technique is commonly found in a variety of major themes, particularly regarding the defense of the Republic of Indonesia, by selecting issues of the South China Sea.

The propaganda technique commonly used by Xinhua News Agency is glittering generalities. One of the examples is reflected in the statement, “China and ASEAN countries should work together to maintain peace and stability in the South China Sea” in the article “Page of South China Sea arbitration has been turned over: Chinese PM.” Xinhua News Agency promotes highly valued concepts maintaining the approach of diplomacy for peace.

Conclusion

The findings of quantitative content analysis show that media propaganda techniques used by both media in the South China Sea dispute are glittering generalities.

Harian Kompas emphasizes the importance of Indonesia’s role in the dispute in South China Sea as a formidable side and the peacemaker. In Xinhua News Agency, this tactic is shown through highly valued concepts promoting diplomacy for peace between China and ASEAN.

Based on the study, we will provide methodological and practical recommendations for future studies. The methodological advice is to expand the population for the future studies. The practical advice is for journalists to make the news in accordance with the principles of journalism (covering both sides and objectivity) without using a propaganda technique.
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